

REMARKS:

This application has been carefully studied and amended in view of the Office Action dated November 9, 2006.

Reconsideration of that action is requested in view of the following.

The allowance of claims 81 and 82 and the indication of allowability of claims 76 and 88 are noted with appreciation. In order to advance the prosecution of this case allowable claim 88 has been written in independent form by combining its features into parent claim 63. Accordingly, a claim of the scope of claim 63 has, in effect, been canceled without prejudice for continuing to pursue such claim or the other canceled claims.

Allowable claim 76 has also been written in independent form. Method claims 83-87 have been canceled. Claim 88 has also been canceled since it is now incorporated into claim 63.

Non-elected claims 77, 79 and 80 remain in the application. Since those claims are dependent on allowable claim 63 (claim 88 in independent form) those claims should be allowed. If, however, Examiner Shakeri believes that the cancellation of these non-elected claims is required in order to pass this application to issue Examiner Shakeri is authorized to cancel those non-elected claims by Examiner's Amendment.

Since the only claims now pending are claims which have been allowed or indicated as allowable or are dependent on allowable

claims this application should be passed to issue.

Respectfully Submitted,  
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP

By: Harold Pezzner  
Harold Pezzner  
Reg. No. 22,112  
1008 N. Orange Street  
P. O. Box 2207  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
(302) 658-9141

@PFDesktop\::ODMA/MHODMA/IMANDMS;CB;523599;1